

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DÀTE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/449,817	11/26/1999	Mitchell S. Steiner	P-2762-US1	6736
27130 7:	590 08/20/2003			
EITAN, PEARL, LATZER & COHEN ZEDEK LLP			EXAMINER	
	10 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA, SUITE 1001 NEW YORK, NY 10020		KERR, KATHLEEN M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1652	2-
			DATE MAILED: 08/20/2003	クン

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/449,817	STEINER ET AL.			
·	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kathleen M Kerr	1652			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 28 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The see have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of see under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official idea, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7	If extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>28 July 2003</u>. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 					
The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☑ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment			
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NOT place the			
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 1,7,10-27,54-57,59 and 60.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 30-36,40,44	1,45,47 and 58.				
The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
0. Other:					
•					

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)



Application No. 09/449,817

Continuation of 2. NOTE: In proposed Claim 15, the change in dependency would require further consideration. In proposed Claim 24, the term "regulatory element" in replace of ---promoter--- would require further consideration as new matter. In proposed Claims 54-56, the new use of % identity would require further consideration for search and new matter. In proposed Claim 59, the change in dependency would require further consideration. Newly proposed Claims 61-63 would require further consideration in searching and with respect to new matter.

PONNATHAPUACHUTAMURTHY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600